

ON SUBGROUPS GENERATED BY SMALL CLASSES IN FINITE GROUPS

MANOJ K. YADAV

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group and $M(G)$ be the subgroup of G generated by all elements of G that lie in the conjugacy classes of the two smallest sizes. Martin Isaacs proved several results regarding the nilpotency class of $M(G)$ and $F(M(G))$, where $F(M(G))$ denotes the Fitting subgroup of $M(G)$. We generalize the result of Isaacs which deals with the nilpotency class of $M(G)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be an arbitrary finite group. An element x of G is said to be *small* if x lies in the conjugacy classes of the two smallest sizes in G . Let $M(G)$ denote the subgroup of G which is generated by all small elements of G .

For a given subgroup H of G and an element $x \in G$, by x^H we denote the entire H -class of x consisting of elements of the form $h^{-1}xh$, where h runs over every element of H . For the same H and x , we denote the set $\{[x, h] | h \in H\}$ by $[x, H]$, where $[x, h]$ denotes the commutator $x^{-1}h^{-1}xh$ of x and h . Since $x^H = x[x, H]$, it follows that $|x^H| = |[x, H]|$. By $C_H(x)$ we denote the centralizer of x in H . We write the subgroups in the lower central series of G as $\gamma_n(G)$, where $\gamma_1(G) = G$ and $\gamma_{n+1}(G) = [\gamma_n(G), G]$ for all $n > 1$.

Recently M. Isaacs [1, Theorem A] proved that if a finite group G contains a normal abelian subgroup A such that $C_G(A) = A$, then $M(G)$ is nilpotent, and it has nilpotency class at most 3. A. Mann [2] proved that $M(G)$ is nilpotent of class at most 3 if either $M(G)$ is solvable and G contains a normal subgroup N with abelian Sylow subgroups such that G/N is nilpotent or G is solvable and contains a normal subgroup N with abelian Sylow subgroups such that G/N is supersolvable. In this short note we prove some results regarding the nilpotency class of $M(G)$ for a finite group G .

A subset S of a group G is said to be *normal* if $g^{-1}Sg = S$ (or equivalently $g^{-1}Sg \subseteq S$) for all $g \in G$. The following theorem generalizes Isaacs' result [1, Theorem A].

Theorem A. *Let G be a finite group that contains a normal subgroup A such that $C_G(A) \leq A$ and $[A, x]$ is a normal subset of A for all small elements $x \in G$. Then $M(G)$ is nilpotent, and it has nilpotency class at most 3.*

Notice that $[A, x]$ is a normal subset of A if it is contained in $Z(A)$. Thus Theorem A gives the following result, which in turn readily gives Isaacs' result.

Date: January 21, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20D25.

Corollary B. *Let G be a finite group that contains a normal subgroup A such that $C_G(A) \leq A$ and $[A, x]$ is contained in the center of A for every small element x of G . Then $M(G)$ is nilpotent of class at most 3.*

Let $F(G)$ denote the Fitting subgroup of a given finite group G . Then we prove the following theorem.

Theorem C. *Let G be a finite group such that $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$ and $[x, F(G)]$ is a normal subset of $F(G)$ for every small element x of G . Then the nilpotency class of $M(G)$ is at most 2.*

The following conjecture is posed by Alexander Moreto (private communication):

Conjecture 1. *Let G be a finite solvable group with trivial center. Then every small element of G lies in the center of $F(G)$.*

Using our results, we show that this conjecture is equivalent to the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1'. *Let G be a finite solvable group with trivial center. Then $[x, F(G)]$ is a normal subset of $F(G)$ for every small element x of G .*

2. PROOFS

We start with the following lemma which is a generalization of Lemma 1 of Martin Isaacs [1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finite group and K be a normal subgroup of G . Let $x \in G - Z(G)$ such that $[x, K]$ is a normal subset of K . Then, for any $y \in [x, K]$, $|C_G(y)| > |C_G(x)|$.*

Proof. If $y = 1$, then the result follows trivially, since x is non-central element of G . So assume that $y \neq 1$. Now let $H = K C_G(x)$. Notice that $y \in H$, $|x^K| = |x^H|$ and $C_H(x) = C_G(x)$. Therefore it suffices to show that $|H : C_H(y)| < |H : C_H(x)|$.

We claim that $[x, K]$ is a normal subset of H . For, let $h \in H$ and $[x, K] \in [x, K]$. Then $h = uv$, for some $u \in K$ and $v \in C_G(x)$. So $w := h^{-1}[x, k]h = v^{-1}u^{-1}[x, k]uv = v^{-1}[x, k_1]v$ for some $k_1 \in K$, since $[x, K]$ is a normal subset of K . Now $w = [v^{-1}xv, v^{-1}kv] = [x, v^{-1}kv] = [x, k_2]$ for $k_2 = v^{-1}kv \in K$, since $v \in C_G(x)$ and K is normal in G . Thus $w \in [x, K]$. This proves our claim, i.e., $[x, K]$ is a normal subset of H . Notice that $1 = [x, 1] \in [x, K]$. Since y is a non-trivial element of $[x, K]$, which is normal in H , it follows that y^H consists of non-identity elements of $[x, K]$. Thus $|y^H| < |[x, K]|$. Since $|[x, K]| = |x^K|$ and $|x^K| = |x^H|$, we get

$$|H : C_H(y)| = |y^H| < |[x, K]| = |x^K| = |x^H| = |H : C_H(x)|.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

As an application of Lemma 2.1 we have

Proposition 2.2. *Let K be a normal subgroup of an arbitrary finite group G such that $[x, K]$ is a normal subset of K for all small elements x of G . Then $M(G, K) \leq Z(G)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $[x, K] \subseteq Z(G)$ for all small elements x of G . If $x \in Z(G)$, then this is obvious since $[x, K] = 1$. Thus we only need to show that $[x, K] \subseteq Z(G)$ for each non-central small element x of G . So let x be a non-central small element of G such that $[x, K]$ is normal in K as a subset. Let $y \in [x, K]$ be an arbitrary element. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $|y^G| < |x^G|$. Hence $|y^G| = 1$, and hence $y \in Z(G)$. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove our results stated in the introduction. The following proof of Theorem A is similar to the proof of [1, Theorem A].

Proof of Theorem A. Write $M = M(G)$. Since $[A, x]$ is a normal subset of A , by Proposition 2.2 we get $[A, M] \leq Z(G)$. Thus $[A, M, M] = 1$. Now by the three-subgroup lemma, it follows that $\gamma_2(M) \leq C_G(A) \leq A$. Thus $\gamma_4(M) = [\gamma_2(M), M, M] \leq [A, M, M] = 1$. This proves that M is nilpotent of class at most 3, which completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Next we prove Theorem C.

Proof of Theorem C. By the given hypothesis, we have $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$. Let $\bar{G} = G/Z(G)$. We claim that $C_{\bar{G}}(F(\bar{G})) \leq F(\bar{G})$. Let π be the natural projection from G to $\bar{G}$. Let C be the inverse image of $C_{\bar{G}}(F(\bar{G})) = C_{\bar{G}}(F(G)/Z(G))$ under π . Then it follows that C is a normal subgroup of G containing $C_G(F(G))$ and satisfying $[C, F(G)] \leq Z(G)$. Now $\hat{C} = C/C_G(F(G))$ acts faithfully as automorphisms of $F(G)$ centralizing both $Z(G)$ and $F(G)/Z(G)$. It follows that $\hat{C}$ is abelian, and acts on the abelian group $C_G(F(G)) = Z(F(G))$. Furthermore, it centralizes both $Z(F(G))/Z(G)$ and $Z(G)$. This implies that C is nilpotent as well as normal in G . Therefore $C \leq F(G)$ and $C/Z(G) = C_{\bar{G}}(F(\bar{G})) \leq F(G)/Z(G) = F(\bar{G})$. This proves our claim.

Let x be an arbitrary small element of G . Then by the given hypothesis we know that $[x, F(G)]$ is a normal subset of $F(G)$. Thus it follows from the proof of Proposition 2.2 that $[x, F(G)] \subseteq Z(G)$. So the image $\bar{x} = xZ(G)$ of x under π satisfies

$$[\bar{x}, F(\bar{G})] = [xZ(G), F(G)/Z(G)] = [x, F(G)]Z(G)/Z(G) = \bar{1}.$$

Hence $\bar{x} \in C_{\bar{G}}(F(\bar{G})) = Z(F(\bar{G}))$, since $C_{\bar{G}}(F(\bar{G})) \leq F(\bar{G})$. Therefore $xZ(G) \in F(\bar{G}) = F(G)/Z(G)$ and $x \in F(G)$. Since $[x, F(G)] \subseteq Z(G) \leq Z(F(G))$, it now follows that x belongs to the second center of $F(G)$. Now it is clear that the nilpotency class of $M(G)$ is at most 2. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

The following proposition proves the equivalence of the Conjectures 1 and 1' stated in the introduction.

Proposition 2.3. *Let G be a finite solvable group such that $Z(G) = 1$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $M(G) \leq Z(F(G))$.
- (2) $[x, F(G)]$ is a normal subset of $F(G)$ for all small elements x of G .

Proof. (1) trivially implies (2). So suppose that (2) holds. Then it follows from Proposition 2.2 that $[M(G), F(G)] \leq Z(G) = 1$. This shows that $M(G)$ centralizes

$F(G)$. Since $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$, it follows that $M(G) \leq Z(F(G))$ and (1) holds. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

A finite group G is said to be *flat* if $[x, G]$ is a subgroup of G for all $x \in G$. A group G is said to be of *conjugate rank* 1 if all non-central elements of G have the same conjugacy class size.

Finally we prove the following proposition which is not related to the previous discussion directly, but is a nice application of Proposition 2.2.

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a finite p -group of conjugate rank 1. Then G is flat if and only if the nilpotency class of G is 2.*

Proof. Since every group of nilpotency class 2 is flat, we only need to prove the only if part of the proposition. So let G is flat and $x \in G - Z(G)$. Thus $[x, G]$ is a subgroup and hence a normal subgroup of G . Now it follows from the proof of Proposition 2.2 that $[x, G] \leq Z(G)$. Since $\gamma_2(G) = \langle [x, G] | x \in G - Z(G) \rangle$, it follows that $\gamma_2(G) \leq Z(G)$. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Acknowledgements. I thank Prof. E. C. Dade for his useful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Isaacs, *Subgroups generated by small classes in finite groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 2299-2301.
- [2] A. Mann, *Conjugacy class sizes in finite groups*, Preprint.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HARISH-CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, CHHATNAG ROAD, JHUNSI, ALLAHABAD - 211 019, INDIA

E-mail address: myadav@hri.res.in